arXiv:1701.04708v1 [math.RA] 17 Jan 2017

Constructive methods for spectra with three nonzero elements in the nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem

Anthony G. Cronin*

Abstract

We present and compare three constructive methods for realizing non-real spectra with three nonzero elements in the nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem. We also provide some necessary conditions for realizability and numerical examples. In particular, we utilise the companion matrix.

AMS Subject Classification: 15A18; 15A29; 47A75; 58C40
Keywords: Nonnegative matrices; Inverse eigenvalue problem; Spectral theory

1 Introduction

We say that a list of n complex numbers $\sigma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is *realizable* if σ is the list of eigenvalues (including multiplicities) of an $n \times n$ entry-wise nonnegative matrix A and that σ *realizes* A or that A is a realizing matrix for σ.
Let

$$s_k := \lambda_1^k + \lambda_2^k + \cdots + \lambda_n^k,\ k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

Then

$$s_k = tr(A^k) \geq 0,\ k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{1}$$

is a necessary condition for σ to be realizable.
The JLL conditions discovered independently by Johnson [3] and Loewy and London [10] state that

$$n^{m-1} s_{km} \geq s_k^m,\ k, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{2}$$

A further necessary condition for realizability of σ comes from the Perron-Frobenius theorem [1], that is that there exists j with λ_j real and $\lambda_j \geq |\lambda_i|$, for all i. Such a λ_j is called the *Perron root* of σ, usually denoted ρ. The nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem (or NIEP) asks for necessary and sufficient conditions on σ in order that σ be the spectrum of an entry-wise nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix.

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Ireland, anthony.cronin@ucd.ie

The same problem in which we may augment the list σ by adding an arbitrary number N of zeros was solved by Boyle and Handelman [2]. Using dynamical systems theory and ergodic theory, they proved the remarkable result that if

(a) σ has a Perron root $\lambda_1 > |\lambda_j|$ (all $j > 1$) and

(b) $s_k \geq 0$ for all positive integers k (and $s_m = 0$ for some m implies $s_d = 0$ for all positive divisors d of m)

then

$$\sigma_N := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, 0, \ldots, 0) \ (N \text{ zeros added})$$

is realizable for all sufficiently large N.
In this paper we compare three constructive techniques for realizing non-real spectra with exactly three non-zero elements. Section 2 examines the companion matrix, gives a surprising result for a realizing companion matrix and provides some examples and remarks. Section 3 examines a construction due to Laffey [5]. Finally in section 4 we compare three constructive methods including a multi-block companion matrix in an attempt to minimize the dimension of realizing matrices with three non-zero element spectra.

2 Known constructions

While Boyle and Handelman's result was an existence one, in recent years more attention has been paid to trying to find a constructive realizing matrix for given spectra [5].
This paper outlines three such constructions and compares each in turn. Our first construction involves the *companion matrix*.

Definition 1. *If* $f(x) = x^n + p_1 x^{n-1} + p_2 x^{n-2} + \cdots + p_n$, *then the companion matrix of* $f(x)$ *is*

$$A(f) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ -p_n & -p_{n-1} & \ldots & -p_2 & -p_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the companion matrix has the property that $\det(xI - A(f)) = f(x)$.
Clearly, $A(f)$ is nonnegative if and only if $p_i \leq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

It is known that spectra of the type $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib)$ where $b \neq 0$, $\rho \geq |a + ib|$, are realizable if and only if they are realizable by a matrix of the form $\alpha I_3 + C$ where $\alpha = \frac{\rho + 2a}{3} \geq 0$, I_3 is the 3×3 identity matrix and C is a nonnegative trace zero companion matrix.
This was first proved by Loewy and London in [10].

However this construction does not hold for $n = 4$ with spectra of the form $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib, \mu)$ where $\mu \neq 0$, since for example the list $(4, 2 + i, 2 - i, 3)$ is realizable by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{8}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{8}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{52}{27} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{8}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

but the list $\sigma - \frac{11}{4} = (\frac{5}{4}, \frac{-3}{4} + i, \frac{-3}{4} - i, \frac{1}{4})$ is not realizable by C where C is a nonnegative trace zero companion matrix since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \left(x - \frac{5}{4}\right)\left(x - \frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\left(x + \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + 1\right) \\ &= x^4 - \frac{3x^2}{8} - \frac{15x}{8} + \frac{125}{256} \end{aligned}$$

has its constant term positive and so the companion matrix of $f(x)$ is not nonnegative. However for $\mu = 0$ we do have the following:

Proposition 2. *Suppose $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib, 0)$ is realizable, then σ is realizable by $\alpha I_4 + C$ where $\alpha \geq 0$ and C is a nonnegative trace zero companion matrix if and only if $\rho \geq 2a$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &= \rho^k + (a + ib)^k + (a - ib)^k, \text{ and} \\ s_k &= (\rho - \alpha)^k + (a - \alpha + ib)^k + (a - \alpha - ib)^k + (-\alpha)^k, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\rho + 2a}{4}$.
Then $s_1 = 0$, and by the Newton identities [11], the characteristic polynomial of C is

$$x^4 - \frac{s_2}{2}x^2 - \frac{s_3}{3}x + d$$

where $d = det(C) = -(\rho - \alpha)\left((a - \alpha)^2 + b^2\right)\alpha \leq 0$.

Note $s_2 = \frac{4S_2 - S_1^2}{4} \geq 0$ (using $\alpha = \frac{\rho + 2a}{4}$), by (2) for $n = 4, m = 2, k = 1$.
So $C \geq 0$ if and only if $s_3 \geq 0$.
But $s_3 = \frac{3}{8}(\rho - 2a)(\rho^2 + 4b^2)$.
Hence σ is realizable as $\alpha I_4 + C$ if and only if $\rho \geq 2a$. □

2.1 Examples

One can easily find examples of the form $(\rho, a \pm ib)$ with $\rho < 2a$, which are realizable. For example $(12, 9 \pm i)$ is realizable by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $(12, 9 \pm i, 0)$ is realizable by the 4×4 nonnegative matrix $A \oplus 0_1$.
Note that we can also find lists $(\rho, a \pm ib)$ such that $(\rho, a \pm ib, 0)$ is not realizable but $(\rho, a \pm ib, 0, 0)$ is realizable. The example $\sigma = (21, 8 \pm 12i, 0)$ is not realizable since it fails the JLL condition $4s_2 - s_1^2 \geq 0$. In fact $4s_2 - s_1^2 = -245$.
However, $\sigma_1 = (21, 8 \pm 12i, 0, 0)$ is realizable, for example, by $\alpha I_5 + C$ where $\alpha = \frac{37}{5}$ and C is the trace zero companion matrix of the polynomial

$$x^5 - \frac{18x^3}{5} - \frac{9892x^2}{25} - \frac{2532243x}{125} - \frac{335969028}{3125}.$$

Similarly $\sigma = (5, 2 \pm 3i)$ has $4s_2 - s_1^2 = 4(15) - 9^2 = -21 < 0$ and $5s_2 - s_1^2 = -6$ (while $6s_2 - s_1^2 > 0$) but σ with three zeros added is realizable by $\frac{3}{2}I_6 + C$ where C is the nonnegative 6×6 trace zero companion matrix of

$$x^6 - \frac{3x^4}{4} - 2x^3 - \frac{1197x^2}{16} - \frac{351x}{2} - \frac{6993}{64}.$$

2.2 Remarks

Additional remarks on non-real spectra with exactly three non-zero entries

1. Suppose that $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib)$, where ρ, a, b are real, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $b > 0$ and $\rho \geq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. Write $s_k = \rho^k + (a + ib)^k + (a - ib)^k$.
If $a \leq 0$, then σ with N zeros appended is realizable if and only if $(N+3)s_2 \geq s_1^2$ where N is the smallest positive integer with this property. In this case σ is realizable by $\alpha I_{N+3} + C$ where $\alpha = \frac{\rho + 2a}{N+3}$ and C is a nonnegative $(N+3) \times (N+3)$ companion matrix with trace zero [8].

2. Suppose $a > 0$. By replacing σ by $\sigma' = (k\rho, ka \pm ikb)$ where $k = \frac{1}{|a^2+b^2|}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we may assume that $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, since X is a realizing matrix for σ if and only if kX is a realizing matrix for σ'. So assume $a > 0$, $\rho \geq 1$ and $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib)$ where $b > 0$ and $a^2 + b^2 = 1$.
We may write $a = \cos\theta$, $b = \sin\theta$ where $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then σ with sufficiently many zeros added is the spectrum of a nonnegative matrix if and only if $\rho^k + 2\cos k\theta > 0$ for all positive integers k by Boyle and Handelman [2]. Because of the periodicity of the cosine function, this condition can be checked in finitely many steps. In particular, when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{l}$ for some positive integer l, let $\rho > \rho_0 = max_{0 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1}(2\cos\frac{k\pi}{l})^{\frac{1}{l-k}}$.

If σ is realized by an $M \times M$ nonnegative matrix then the following JLL condition must hold

$$M^{l-k-1}(\rho^{l-k} - 2\cos\frac{k\pi}{l}) \geq (\rho + 2\cos\frac{\pi}{l})^{l-k}.$$

Choosing $\rho - \rho_0$ to be a sufficiently small positive number, we can realize such σ by an $M \times M$ nonnegative matrix with the minimum dimension M satisfying this JLL inequality.

3. Suppose that $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib)$, where $a > 0, b > 0, a^2 + b^2 = 1$, is realizable with sufficiently many zeros added. One can ask whether σ (with sufficiently many zeros added) is realizable by $\alpha I_N + C_N$ where $\alpha > 0$ and C_N is a nonnegative $N \times N$ trace zero companion matrix for some positive integer N. When $N = 3$, it is well-known ([10]) that this realization is possible if and only if $\rho \geq a + b\sqrt{3}$ (since $s_1 = 0$ here).
When $N = 4$, Proposition 2 says $\rho \geq 2a$ is necessary and sufficient.
We now present:

Proposition 3. *Suppose that $\sigma = (\rho, a \pm ib)$, $a > 0, b > 0, a^2 + b^2 = 1$, is realizable with sufficiently many zeros added. If σ (with sufficiently many zeros added) is realizable by $\alpha I_N + C_N$ where C_N is a nonnegative $N \times N$ trace zero companion matrix for all sufficiently large N, then $\rho \geq 2a$ (so σ with only one zero added is realizable).*

Proof. Let $\sigma_N = (\rho, a + ib, a - ib, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{\text{N}-3 \text{ zeros}})$.
Let $\alpha = \frac{\rho+2a}{N}$, and $S_k = tr(C_N)^k$, so

$$S_k = (\rho - \alpha)^k + (a - \alpha + ib)^k + (a - \alpha - ib)^k + (-\alpha)^k + (-\alpha)^k + \cdots + (-\alpha)^k.$$

In order that σ with $N-3$ zeros added be realizable in the desired form for all sufficiently large N, we require C_N to be nonnegative i.e. the coefficients P_j must be non-positive for $j = 1, 2, \ldots N$, in $\det(xI - C_N)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x^N + P_1 x^{N-1} + P_2 x^{N-2} + \cdots + P_N \\ &= (x - \tau)(x^2 - \frac{2\left((N-2)a - \rho\right)}{N} x + 1)(x + \frac{\rho + 2a}{N})^{N-3} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \frac{(N-1)\rho - 2a}{N}$.
The coefficient of x^{N-1} is zero since C_N has trace 0.
Consider the coefficient of x^{N-4}.
The second Newton identity [11] states that $S_2 + S_1 P_1 + 2P_2 = 0$, but $S_1 = 0$ and so we have that $P_2 = -\frac{S_2}{2}$.
The fourth Newton identity states that $S_4 + S_3 P_1 + S_2 P_2 + S_1 P_3 + 4P_4 = 0$ which simplifies to $S_4 - \frac{S_2^2}{2} + 4P_4 = 0$.
Hence the coefficient P_4 of x^{N-4} in $\det(xI - C_N)$ is $-\frac{1}{4}\left(S_4 - \frac{S_2^2}{2}\right)$ and for realizability by a companion matrix, for sufficiently large N, this coefficient must not be positive i.e. $\left(S_4 - \frac{S_2^2}{2}\right)$ must be nonnegative.

Expanding $\left(S_4 - \frac{S_2^2}{2}\right)$ we get $\frac{(2a+\rho)(N-3)}{2N^3}(f(N))$ where $f(N)$ is a quadratic polynomial in N in terms of ρ, a and b which equals

$$(\rho-2a)(\rho^2+4b^2)N^2+((4\rho a^2+8\rho b^2+16ab^2-(3\rho^3+2\rho^2a+8a^3))N+12\rho^2a+24\rho a^2+2\rho^3+16a^3.$$

The leading coefficient $(\rho - 2a)(\rho^2 + 4b^2)$ is nonnegative when $\rho \geq 2a$.
But from Proposition 2 this is exactly the condition for $\sigma \cup \{0\}$ to be realizable in the desired form and this establishes the result.

□

Remark 4. *The negativity of the coefficient of x^{N-4} in Proposition 3 turns out to be the most restrictive condition in establishing the result. This proposition demonstrates that when adding lots of zeros to σ to aid realizability, the method $\alpha I_N + C_N$ for nonnegative companion matrices C_N is not the best strategy.*
The realizability by matrices of the form (see the next section)

$$X_N = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{N-1} & x_{N-2} & \dots & x_2 & x_1 & N-1 \\ x_N & x_{N-1} & \dots & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is possible for all sufficiently large N, but leads to very high dimensional realizations.

3 Laffey's construction

In [5] Laffey gives a constructive method for the Boyle-Handelman theorem. He shows that if $\tau = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_k : &= \mu_1^k + \dots + \mu_n^k,\ k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ q(x) : &= (x - \mu_1) \cdots (x - \mu_n) \\ &= x^n + q_1 x^{n-1} + q_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + q_{n-1} x + q_n \end{aligned}$$

then the matrix

$$X_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & \dots & x_2 & x_1 & n-1 \\ x_n & x_{n-1} & \dots & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has characteristic polynomial

$$Q(x) = x^n + nq_1 x^{n-1} + n(n-1)q_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + n!q_n.$$

Thus it follows that the spectrum of $Q(x)$ is realizable if the x_i, $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, are nonnegative. Hence if we wish to realize a given spectrum $\sigma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, let

$$q(x) = x^n + q_1 x^{n-1} + q_2 x^{n-2} + \cdots + q_{n-1}x + q_n$$

with

$$q_i = \frac{p_i}{n(n-1)\cdots(n-i+1)} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

then $q(x)$ has its corresponding

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) = f(x) &= x^n + p_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{n-1}x + p_n \\ &= (x - \lambda_1)\cdots(x - \lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

Laffey gives a lower bound for N, the number of zeros required to be added for realizability, in the proof of his main result [5] depending on a number of parameters.
This bound is not optimal in general however.
For example the list $\sigma = (1.1, e^{\pm i\theta})$ for $\theta = 0.0188\pi$ cannot be realized by a 3×3 matrix by Remark 3 of Section 2.2 since $\rho \ngeq a + b\sqrt{3}$.
This list with one zero added can however be realized by the nonnegative 4×4 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1.013005334 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.041605274 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.041605274 & 1 \\ 0.000326227 & 0 & 0 & 0.000296825 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This construction arises from the 4×4 solution of the NIEP via examination of the coeffiecients of the characteristic polynomial of realizing matrices given in [12].
Thus $\sigma \cup \{0\}$ is realizable whereas the minimum number of zeros N required for realizability in Laffey's construction is $N = 198$. The condition that all the main diagonal entries of X_n are equal is quite restrictive and allowing these entries to be any nonnegative entries that add up to the sum of the elements in σ is less restrictive in this sense. Here $\cos(\theta) = 99825635046\cdots$ and note that realization becomes more difficult when the spectral gap i.e. the distance between the Perron root and the second biggest eigenvalue - decreases.

4 Three constructive methods

Suppose that $\sigma = (\rho, \lambda_2, \overline{\lambda_2})$, where $\rho > |\lambda_2|$ and $\lambda_2, \overline{\lambda_2}$ are non-real complex conjugates, and that all the power sums $s_k > 0$. By the Boyle-Handelman theorem [2], there exists a positive integer N such that $\sigma \cup N$ zeros is realizable. In this section, we consider finding such an N and a corresponding realizing matrix for $\sigma \cup N$ zeros.

Method 1: $\alpha I + C$
As we saw in the previous sections one method is to try to realize $\sigma \cup N$ zeros by $\alpha I_m + C$, where $m = N + 3, \alpha \geq 0$, and C is a nonnegative trace zero companion matrix.

For instance, in the Examples section we saw that for $\sigma = (5, 2+3i, 2-3i)$, $\sigma \cup 3$ zeros is realizable in this way and that $(5, 2+3i, 2-3i, 0, 0)$ is not realizable. So this method yields the best possible result in this case.
However, this method need not always work.
For example, let $\sigma = (1.4, e^{\pm i\theta}) = (\rho, a+ib, a-ib)$ where $\cos\theta = 0.95$ (note that $\rho \not\geq 2a$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x-1.4)(x^2-1.9x+1) \\ &= x^3 - 3.3x^2 + 3.66x - 1.4 \\ &= x^3 - (\rho+2a)x^2 + (1+2a\rho)x - \rho \end{aligned}$$

If $x^N f(x)$ is to be realizable by $\alpha I_m + C$, where $m = N+3$, then for $x = y + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}$, the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) = &\left(y + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}\right)^{N+3} - (\rho+2a)\left(y + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}\right)^{N+2} \\ &+(1+2a\rho)\left(y + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}\right)^{N+1} - \rho\left(y + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}\right)^{N} \end{aligned}$$

must have all its coefficients non-positive.
Using the substitution $y \to \frac{1}{t}$ and multiplying $g(y)$ by t^{N+3} we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}t\right)^{N+3} - (\rho+2a)t\left(1 + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}t\right)^{N+2} \\ &+(1+2a\rho)t^2\left(1 + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}t\right)^{N+1} - \rho t^3\left(1 + \frac{2a+\rho}{N+3}t\right)^{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the companion matrix of $F(x) = x^N f(x)$ is nonnegative if and only if $f(t) = t^{N+3}F(\frac{1}{t})$ has all its coefficients non-positive. Expanding this expression we see that at least one of the coefficients of t is positive.
Note that if $\cos\theta < 0$ then $\sigma \cup N$ zeros is realizable for the smallest nonnegative integer N satisfying $(N+3)s_2 \geq s_1^2$, see [8].

Method 2: X_m
Laffey [5] showed that when $\lambda_1 = \rho > |\lambda_j|, j > 1$ for $\sigma = (\rho, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and all $s_k > 0$, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that $\sigma \cup N$ zeros is realizable by an $m \times m$ matrix of the form

$$X_m = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1 & 2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{m-1} & x_{m-2} & \ldots & x_2 & x_1 & m-1 \\ x_m & x_{m-1} & \ldots & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $m = N+3$.

The x_j are recovered inductively from the equations $trX_m^k = s_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m$.
For example, for $\sigma = (1.4, e^{\pm i\theta})$ where $\cos\theta = 0.95$, this method succeeds with $N = 9$. The minimum N for which $\sigma \cup N$ zeros is realizable is not known in this case; $N = 9$ is the minimum for which realizability by a matrix of the form X_m is possible. While this method is systematic and easy to carry out, it is not guaranteed to yield the minimum N for which $\sigma \cup N$ zeros is realizable however.

Method 3: Multi-block companion matrices
Another method which may be attempted, is to realize $\sigma \cup N$ zeros by a matrix of the form

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} C_1 & N_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C_2 & N_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & N_{r-1} \\ \hline R_1 & R_2 & \cdots & R_{r-1} & C_r \end{array}\right)$$

where

- the matrix blocks C_i are nonnegative companion matrices of size $k_i \times k_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \geq 1$
- the matrices N_i are size $k_i \times k_{i+1}$ (for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$), which have their $(k_i, 1)$ entry equal to one and all other entries equal to zero and
- the matrix R_i is the $k_r \times k_i$ matrix whose rows are all equal to zero, except the last row which is equal to $(r_{i1}\ r_{i2}\ \cdots\ r_{ik_i})$.

Such matrices were first studied by Laffey [6], and by Laffey and Smigoc [9], in work on spectra with at most two positive elements and all other elements having negative real parts. They can also be employed in obtaining realizations over the real numbers of the spectra realized by Kim, Ormes and Roush [4]. Unfortunately there is no known "good" algorithm for choosing r or the companion matrices C_i.
A result (Theorem 5) of Laffey, Loewy and Smigoc in [7], implies that if $\sigma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \overline{\lambda_2})$ satisfies the hypotheses given earlier in this section, i.e.

1. $s_k(\sigma) > 0$
2. $\lambda_1 > |\lambda_2|$

then if

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = (1 - \lambda_1 t)(1 - \lambda_2 t)(1 - \overline{\lambda_2} t)$$

we have that $1-\widetilde{f}(t)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ has positive coefficients for sufficiently large integers N.
The N arising in the proof is the minimum N for which $\sigma \cup (N-3)$ zeros is realizable by a matrix of the form X_m above.
Writing $\widetilde{f}(t)^{\frac{1}{N}} = 1 - l_1 t - l_2 t^2 - \cdots - l_N t^N - \cdots$ where $l_i \geq 0$, one choice is to take $r = N$, C_i the companion matrix of

$$e(x) := x^N - l_1 x^{N-1} - l_2 x^{N-2} - \cdots - l_N \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$$

and then determine the entries in the last row of M in order that $det(xI - M) = x^{N^2-3} f(x)$ where $f(x) = (x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)(x-\overline{\lambda_2})$.
This method succeeds precisely when the entries in position

$$(N^2, 1), (N^2, 2), \ldots, (N^2, N^2 - N)$$

turn out to be nonnegative. This can be done algorithmically as follows:

The Algorithm
Calculate the remainder r_1 of $x^{N^2-3} f(x)$ upon division by $e(x)$, then the quotient q_1 of $x^{N^2-3} f(x)$ upon division by $e(x)$, then the remainder r_2 of q_1 upon division by $e(x)$, the quotient q_2 of q_1 upon division by $e(x)$, and in general, for $i > 1$, r_i the remainder r_i of q_{i-1} upon division by $e(x)$ and the quotient q_i of q_{i-1} upon division by $e(x)$ concluding with r_{N-1} the remainder of q_{N-2} upon division by $e(x)$.
The entries in position $(N^2, j), j = 1, 2, \ldots, N^2 - N$ are the minus of the coefficients of the powers of x^i $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1)$ occurring in $r_u, u = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$.

For the example given earlier of $f(x) = x^3 - 3.3x^2 + 3.66x - 1.4$ corresponding to $\sigma = (1.4, e^{\pm i\theta})$ where $\cos\theta = 0.95$, we find that $1 - \widetilde{f}(t)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ has the first four coefficients positive (in fact it can be shown that all the coefficients of the Taylor series expansion of $1 - \widetilde{f}(t)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ are positive) and the corresponding 16×16 matrix M is found to have nonnegative entries. So one obtains a realization of σ with 13 zeros added in this case.
While in this example, the realization of σ by a matrix of type X_N requires fewer (nine) zeros, it is unknown which method provides the best bound for other given σ.

For methods 2 and 3 mentioned above, we have that for $\cos(\theta) = 0.95$
$\sigma_9 = (1.4, e^{\pm i\theta}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ is realizable by

$$X_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_7 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_8 & x_7 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_9 & x_8 & x_7 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{10} & x_9 & x_8 & x_7 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 10 & 0 \\ x_{11} & x_{10} & x_9 & x_8 & x_7 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 & 11 \\ x_{12} & x_{11} & x_{10} & x_9 & x_8 & x_7 & x_6 & x_5 & x_4 & x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{11}{40},\ x_2 = \frac{71}{3,520},\ x_3 = \frac{777}{704,000},\ x_4 = \frac{33,371}{929,280,000}, \\ x_5 &= \frac{8,251}{12,390,400,000},\ x_6 = \frac{1,171,069}{3,271,065,600,000},\ x_7 = \frac{231,739,033}{1,962,639,360,000,000}, \\ x_8 &= \frac{20,078,111,833}{863,561,318,400,000,000},\ x_9 = \frac{120,886,554,859}{34,542,452,736,000,000,000}, \\ x_{10} &= \frac{807,900,538,537}{1,823,841,504,460,800,000,000},\ x_{11} = \frac{8,197,245,662,72}{165,803,773,132,800,000,000,000}, \\ x_{12} &= \frac{1,344,264,039,555,553}{267,496,753,987,584,000,000,000,000} \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_{13} = (1.4, e^{\pm i\theta}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ is realizable by

$$M_{16} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c & d & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b & c & d & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b & c & d & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & r_{14} & r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & r_{24} & r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & r_{34} & a & b & c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{171,081}{4,096,000},\ b=\frac{6,487}{128,000},\ c=\frac{339}{3,200},\ d=\frac{33}{40},\\
r_{11} &= \frac{51,022,761,666,057,454,968,319,563}{35,184,372,088,832,000,000,000,000,000},\ r_{12}=\frac{874,779,856,035,649,816,558,659}{274,877,906,944,000,000,000,000,000},\\
r_{13} &= \frac{46,738,794,894,222,413,568,447}{6,871,947,673,600,000,000,000,000},\ r_{14}=\frac{3,037,818,107,230,325,277,507}{85,899,345,920,000,000,000,000},\\
r_{21} &= \frac{43,164,064,793,619,336,981}{1,073,741,824,000,000,000,000},\ r_{22}=\frac{9,443,904,869,138,337}{209,715,200,000,000,000},\\
r_{23} &= \frac{9,378,192,467,558,799}{167,772,160,000,000,000},\ r_{24}=\frac{212,079,653,123}{1,310,720,000,000},\\
r_{31} &= \frac{1,115,284,117,329}{8,388,608,000,000},\ r_{32}=\frac{14,100,563,727}{131,072,000,000},\\
r_{33} &= \frac{1,488,555,033}{16,384,000,000},\ r_{34}=\frac{39,083,751}{204,800,000}.
\end{aligned}
$$

5 Conclusion

In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a non-real list with three non-zero numbers to be realized by a companion matrix. We also offered a comparison of three constructive methods in realizing non-real spectra with exactly three nonzero elements. While the least N in [5] is still unknown, the methods here may help in finding such N, particularly in the case where σ contains just three nonzero elements. The fact that the case of just *three* non-zero element spectra does not yield much more progress than in the general NIEP indicates just how intriguing this problem remains. The problems discussed in this paper while very special, can serve as a fertile testing ground for new constructive techniques in the NIEP.

6 Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful for the hospitality he received at the Technion (under the Erasmus Mundus Action 2 EMAIL III mobility programme) during the completion of this paper and would like to sincerely thank Professor Tom Laffey and Professor Raphi Loewy for helpful conversations on this paper and ideas to improve its readability. Thanks also to the anonymous referee who gave this paper a very considered treatment and provided helpful comments.

References

[1] A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons, Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences, second ed., SIAM, 1994.

[2] M. Boyle, D. Handelman, The spectra of nonnegative matrices via symbolic dynamics, *Ann. of Math.* **2 133** (1991), 249-316.

[3] C.R. Johnson, Row stochastic matrices similar to doubly stochastic matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **10** (1981), 113-120.

[4] K.H. Kim, N.S. Ormes, F.W. Roush, The spectra of nonnegative integer matrices via formal power series, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13**, (2000), 773-806.

[5] T.J. Laffey, A constructive version of the Boyle-Handelman theorem on the spectra of nonnegative matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **436**, (2012), 1701-1709.

[6] T.J. Laffey, Realizing matrices in the nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem, Matrices and Group Representations (Coimbra, 1998), *Textos Mat. Sr. B,* **vol. 19,** University of Coimbra, Coimbra, (1999), 21-32.

[7] T.J. Laffey, R. Loewy, H. Smigoc, Power series with positive coefficients arising from the characteristic polynomials of positive matrices, *Mathematische Annalen* **364(1)**, (2015), 687-707.

[8] T.J. Laffey, H. Smigoc, Nonnegative realization of spectra having negative real parts, Linear Algebra Appl. **416**, (2006), 148-159.

[9] T.J. Laffey, H. Smigoc, Nonnegatively realizable spectra with two positive eigenvalues. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra,* **58(7-8),** 2010, 1053-1069.

[10] R. Loewy, D. London, A note on the inverse eigenvalue problem for nonnegative matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **6** (1978), 83-90.

[11] I. Newton, Arithmetica universalis: *sive de compositione et resolutione arithmetica liber,* (1707).

[12] J. Torre-Mayo, M.R. Abril-Raymundo, E. Alarcia-Estvez, C. Marijun, M. Pisonero, The nonnegative inverse eigenvalue problem from the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial. EBL digraphs *Linear Algebra Appl.* **426** (2007), 729-773.